1	Christopher Addy (SBN: 256044)			
	Krohn & Moss, Ltd.			
2	10474 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 401			
_	Los Angeles, CA 90025			
3	T: (323) 988-2400 x 271			
4	F: (866) 799-3206			
4	caddy@consumerlawcenter.com			
5	Attorney for Plaintiff, EUGENE PASQUALE			
	EUGENE PASQUALE			
6	Franklin J. Love (SBN: 80334)			
	Law Offices of Franklin J. Love			
7	125 S Citrus Avenue			
	Suite 101			
8	Covina CA 91723			
	T: (626) 653-0455			
9	F: (626) 653-0465			
	office@fjlovelaw.com			
0	Attorney for Defendant,			
1	CMRE FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.			
1				
2	LIMITED STATI	ES DISTRICT COURT		
		RICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13		SE DIVISION		
	BAILOO	SE DIVISION		
4				
	EUGENE PASQUALE,) Case No.: 5:11-cv-01864-LHK		
15	,)		
6	Plaintiff,) Joint Case Management Statement		
6)		
7	v.			
,	CMDE FINANCIAL GEDVICEG INC)		
8	CMRE FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.,)		
	Defendant.)		
9	Defendant.)		
_				
20				
21	COMES NOW Plaintiff and Defendar	nt through their undersigned counsel and hereby		
21		· ·		
22	make this Joint Case Management Statement	as pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16-9 and the Order		
23	Setting a new Initial Case Management Confe	erence on July 20, 2011.		
	1) Invisdiction and Convices			
24	1). <u>Jurisdiction and Service;</u>			
,	(a) Jurisdiction of this cou	rt arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k(d), which		
25		•		
	states that such actions may be	e brought and heard before "any appropriate United		
		- 1 -		
Į.		l		

States district court without regard to the amount in controversy" and 28 U.S.C. 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims contained therein.

- b) As Plaintiff resides within this district and Defendant conducts business here, personal jurisdiction is satisfied for all parties.
- c) Defendant was successfully served on June 9, 2011. Defendant's Answer was filed on June 24, 2011.

2). Facts of Case;

(a) Plaintiff alleges that Defendant has been communicating with Plaintiff regarding an alleged debt despite receiving a cease and desist letter demanding that all phone calls stop. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant further enaged in deceptive collection practices by misrepresenting the name of the original creditor, and that Defendant's actions are in violation of both the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. Defendant contends it undertook lawful efforts to collect the alleged debt owed by Plaintiff.

3). <u>Legal Issues</u>;

(a) Whether or not Defendant's alleged actions violated Plaintiff's rights afforded by the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 USC 1692 et seq. and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal Civ. 1788 et seq.

4). **<u>Motions</u>**;

- (a) No prior or pending motions in the present matter.
- (b) Defendant may file a motion for summary judgment.

1	5).	Amendment of Pleadings;		
2		(a) At present, neither party anticipates any further amendments to pleadings.		
3		However, parties reserve the right to do if needed and in accordance with the		
4		FRCP and Local Rules.		
5	6.	Evidence Preservation;		
6		(a) Parties agree to preserve any and all evidence within its possession either		
7		physically or electronically stored. Parties agree to cease any and all deletion or		
8		destruction policies it may have that would lead to the destruction of evidence in		
9		this matter.		
10	7.	<u>Disclosures;</u>		
11		(a) Parties agree to serve written disclosures upon each other within fourteen		
12		(14) days of the 26f meeting as pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.		
13	8.	Discovery;		
14		(a) No discovery has been taken as of yet by either party		
15		(b) Parties agree that Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(1) controls the		
16		scope of discovery in the present matter.		
17		(c) Parties agree that the uses and limits of discovery devices be governed		
18		by the rules contained within the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.		
19		(d) Rule 26(f) Discovery Plain;		
20		i) Discovery Cut-Off is January 21, 2012.		
21		ii) All Written Discovery served by December 1, 2011.		
22		iii) All depositions to be completed by January 21, 2012.		
23	9.	Class Actions;		
24		(a) Present Matter is not a class action.		
25				
	ı			

1	10.	Related	Related Cases;	
2		(a)	The present matter is not related to any other cases.	
3	11.	Relief;		
4		(a)	Plaintiff seeks statutory damages of \$1,000 pursuant to 15 USC	
5			1692k(2)(A) and \$1,000 pursuant to Cal. Civ. 1788.30(b).	
6		(b)	Plaintiff seeks any other relief that this court deems to be just and	
7			proper.	
8		(c)	Plaintiff seeks reasonable attorneys fees and costs as pursuant to 15	
9			USC 1692k(3) and Cal. Civ. 1788.30(c).	
10		(d)	Defendant seeks a dismissal of the present action.	
11		(e)	Defendant seeks further relief as this court deems proper.	
12	12.	Settleme	Settlement and ADR;	
13		(a)	Parties have agreed to make good faith efforts to settle the present	
14			matter and will continue to do so.	
15		(b)	No ADR efforts have been made to date.	
16		(c)	Parties agree to file and serve their ADR Certifications on or before the	
17			Case Management Conference.	
18		(d)	Parties stipulate to mediation.	
19		(e)	Parties believe that written and oral discovery pertaining to Plaintiff	
20			allegations and Defendant's defenses, and Defendant's account notes is	
21			key before the matter can be resolved.	
22	13.	Consent to Magistrate Judge;		
23		(a)	Parties do not consent to Magistrate Jurisdiction.	
24				
25				

1				
2	14.	Other References:		
3		(a) Parties do not believe the present matter is suited for binding		
4		arbitration, a special master or any other reference.		
5	15.	Narrowing of Issues;		
6		(a) None.		
7	16.	Expedited Schedule;		
8		(a) Parties agree the present matter is not suit for streamlined procedures.		
9	17.	Scheduling;		
10		(a) Designation of Experts to be no later than December 21, 2011.		
11		(b) Discovery Cut-Off; January 21, 2012.		
12		(c) Motion Cut-Off; March 14, 2012.		
13		(d) Pre-trial conference; April 2012.		
14		(e) Trial; May 2012.		
15	18.	<u>Trial;</u>		
16		(a) Plaintiff requested trial by jury in his complaint.		
17		(b) Parties believe that trial would necessitate two (2) days.		
18	19.	Disclosure of Non-Party Interested Entities or Persons;		
19		(a) Plaintiff has filed a Certificate of Interested Persons as required by local		
20		Rule 3-16;		
21		(b) Plaintiff states that only the named Plaintiff has a direct financial or othe		
22		interested in the present matter.		
23		(c) All parties have filed the certificate of interested parties.		
24	20.	Other Matters		
25		(a) None.		
	1			

1	DATED: July 13, 2011	KROHN & MOSS, LTD.
2		By: /s/ Christopher Addy
3		Christopher Addy Attorney for Plaintiff
4		Auomey for Framum
5		
6	DATED: July 13, 2011	LAW OFFICES OF FRANKLIN J. LOVE
7		Dry /a/ Emanlelin I. I. avo
8		By:/s/ Franklin J. Love
9		Franklin J. Love Attorney for Defendant
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		